

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,588	07/22/2003	Gary Schlatter	ORA-005	5846	
21884 7	590 07/27/2006		EXAMINER		
WELSH & FLAXMAN LLC 2000 DUKE STREET, SUITE 100			SMALLEY, JAMES N		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3727		
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006	DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u></u>
·	10/623,588	SCHLATTER, GARY	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	James N. Smalley	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		ith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matt		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	awn from consideration. for election requirement. her. ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document a. Certified copies of the priority document a. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/25/04.	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/623,588 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lermer US 3,240,384 in view of Foley US 3,483,285.

Lermer '384 teaches a detachable cap with an integral support comprising fingers (68) and (70) to be secured to a display board rod (102) but fails to teach an arcuate overlap.

Foley '285 teaches a clamp comprising arcuate overlapping fingers (10).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the clamping fingers of Lermer '384, providing the clamping fingers taught by Foley '285, because such is an obvious equivalent structure, equally capable of securing the cap and container of Lermer '384 to a display board rod.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lermer US 3,240,384 in view of Truitt US 250,112.

Lermer '384 teaches a detachable cap with an integral support comprising fingers (68) and (70) to be secured to a display board rod (102) but fails to teach an arcuate overlap.

Truitt '112 teaches a hook comprising overlapping arcuate fingers (a/b).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the clamping fingers of Lermer '384, providing the hook taught by Truitt '112, because such is an obvious equivalent structure, equally capable of securing the cap and container of Lermer '384 to a display board rod.

Art Unit: 3727

4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lermer US 3,240,384 in view of Meyer US 641,316.

Lermer '384 teaches a detachable cap with an integral support comprising fingers (68) and (70) to be secured to a display board rod (102) but fails to teach an arcuate overlap.

Meyer '316 teaches a harness comprising overlapping arcuate fingers (A).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the clamping fingers of Lermer '384, providing the harness taught by Meyer '316, because such is an obvious equivalent structure, equally capable of securing the cap and container of Lermer '384 to a display board rod.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 See attached PTO-892, citing relevant references.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James N. Smalley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4547. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9-6:30, Alternate Fri 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Nathan Newhouse can be reached on (571) 272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,588 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

jns

NATAAN J. NEWHOUSE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER